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The aggregation dropdown menu lets you choose the aggregation strategy that will be used to calculate each participant's overall grade for a grade category. The different options are explained below.

The grades are first converted to percentage values (interval from 0 to 1), then aggregated using one of the strategies below and finally converted to the associated category item's range (between Minimum grade and Maximum grade).

Important: An empty grade is simply a missing gradebook entry, and could mean different things. For example, it could be a participant who hasn't yet submitted an assignment, or an assignment submission not yet graded by the instructor.

Mean of grades. The sum of all grades divided by the total number of grades.

> A1 70/100, A2 20/80, A3 10/10, category $\max 100:$
> $(0.7+0.25+1.0) / 3=0.65--75 / 100$

Weighted Mean of Grades. Each grade item can be given a weight, which is then used in the arithmetic mean aggregation to influence the importance of each item in the overall mean. In simple terms, the category "total" will be equal to the sum of the scores in each grade item, these scores being multiplied by the grade items' weights, and that sum being finally divided by the sum of the weights, as shown in this example.

A1 70/100 weight 10, A2 $20 / 80$ weight 5 , A3 10/10 weight 3 , cate gory max 100:
$(0.7 * 10+0.25 * 5+1.0 * 3) / 18=0.625-->62.5 / 100$

Simple Weighted Mean of Grades. The difference from Weighted mean is that weight is calculated as Maximum grade - Minimum grade for each item. 100 point assignment has weight 100, 10 point assignment has weight 10.

```
A1 70/100, A2 20/80, A3 10/10, category max 100:
(0.7*100 + 0.25*80 + 1.0*10)/190 = 0.526 --> 52.6/100
```

When the "Simple weighted mean" aggregation strategy is used, a grade item can act as Extra credit for the category. This means that the grade item's maximum grade will not be added to the category total's maximum grade, but the item's grade will. For example, if A3 is marked as extra credit in the above calculation:

```
A1 70/100, A2 20/80, A3 (extra credit) 10/10, category max 100:
\((0.7 * 100+0.25 * 80+1.0 * 10) / 180=0.556-->55.6 / 100\)
```

Mean of Grades with Extra Credit. Arithmetic mean with a twist. An old, now unsupported aggregation strategy
provided here only for backward compatibility with old activities.

A value greater than 0 treats a grade item's grades as extra credit during aggregation. The number is a factor by which the grade value will be multiplied before it is added to the sum of all grades, but the item itself will not be counted in the division. For example:

- Item 1 is graded 0-100 and its "Extra credit" value is set to 2
- Item 2 is graded 0-100 and its "Extra credit" value is left at 0.0000
- Item 3 is graded 0-100 and its "Extra credit" value is left at 0.0000
- All 3 items belong to Category 1, which has "Mean of grades (with extra credits)" as its aggregation strategy
- A student gets graded 20 on Item 1, 40 on Item 2 and 70 on Item 3
- The student's total for Category 1 will be $95 / 100$ since $20^{*} 2+(40+70) / 2=95$

Median of Grades. The middle grade (or the mean of the two middle grades) when grades are arranged in order of size. The advantage over the mean is that it is not affected by outliers (grades which are uncommonly far from the mean).

Lowest and Highest Grade. The result is the lowest grade after normalisation. It is usually used in combination with Aggregate only non-empty grades.

> A1 70/100, A2 20/80, A3 10/10, category $\max 100:$ $\min (0.7+0.25+1.0)=0.25--25 / 100$

The result is the highest grade after normalisation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A1 } 70 / 100 \text {, A2 } 20 / 80 \text {, A3 } 10 / 10 \text {, category } \max 100: \\
& \max (0.7+0.25+1.0)=1.0--100 / 100
\end{aligned}
$$

Mode of Grades. The mode is the grade that occurs the most frequently. It is more often used for non-numerical grades. The advantage over the mean is that it is not affected by outliers (grades which are uncommonly far from the mean). However it loses its meaning once there is more than one most frequently occurring grade (only one is kept), or when all the grades are different from each other.

```
    A1 70/100, A2 35/50, A3 20/80, A4 10/10, A5 7/10 category max 1
00:
mode(0.7; 0.7; 0.25;1.0; 0.7) = 0.7 --> 70/100
```

Natural. This is the sum of all grade values, scaled by their relative weights. The Maximum grade of the category is the sum of the maximums of all aggregated items.

```
A1 70/100, A2 20/80, A3 10/10, without forcing weights:
A: (70 + 20 + 10)/(100 + 80 + 10) --> 100/190
```

Note: Scale grades are ignored.
When the "Natural" aggregation strategy is used, a grade item can act as Extra credit for the category. This means that the grade item's maximum grade will not be added to the category total's maximum grade, but the item's grade will. Following is an example:

- Item 1 is graded 0-100
- Item 2 is graded 0-75
- Item 1 has the "Act as extra credit" checkbox ticked, Item 2 doesn't.
- Both items belong to Category 1, which has "Natural" as its aggregation strategy
- Category 1's total will be graded 0-75
- A student gets graded 20 on Item 1 and 70 on Item 2
- The student's total for Category 1 will be $75 / 75(20+70=90$ but Item 1 only acts as extra credit, so it brings the total to its maximum)

Natural aggregation functions as a sum of grades when the weight boxes are left alone. In this situation, the numbers in the weight boxes are informational and represent the effective weights in the sum. Natural aggregation can also function as a mean of grades, when the weight boxes are checked and then adjusted so that the weights are equal across a set of items in a category, or across a set of categories. Items can still be marked as "Extra credit" while using the weights to calculate a mean, and contribute to the total for the category.

